

ROSENFELDER ASSOCIATES

2.7

Chartered Architects + Planning Consultants

10-12 Perrins Court Hampstead London NW3 1QS Telephone 01-794 4425/8 Fax 01-794 1221

D D Rosenfelder BA ARIBA Associates D I Preston DipArch FRIBA R Sheppard MSAAT MSST

E.484 -- HENDON + GOLDERS GREEN ERUV
MINUTES OF A MEETING HELD AT BARNET HOUSE ON
WEDNESDAY 24TH MAY 1989 AT 1PM

Present: D D Rosenfelder Esq Architects
John Bragg Esq -- Senior Project Engineer -- Lighting
Alan Shields Esq -- Project Engineer -- Lighting

ITEM	ACTION
1.00	It was pointed out that the meeting was 'without prejudice' to discuss the feasibility of the installation of the requisite form of 'enclosure' as required for the Eruv.
2.00	DDR pointed out the general principles concerned and the need to minimise the (a) extent of work required (b) obtrusiveness of the required fixtures and fittings (c) Preference for uniformity so as to facilitate the erection, inspection and maintenance.
3.00	For the above reasons it was felt that the existing street lighting columns would in practically all cases be the ideal supporting posts, especially as on the main roads, the usual height of 8m-10m is well in excess of the preferred height for any wires spanning the roads of 6m minimum.
4.00	JB pointed out that the existing steel columns were not capable of taking any additional stresses and this should be borne in mind when the materials and fixing methods were considered. DDR advised that these aspects were being considered, including fixing, wind and thermal movement stresses and the requisite calculations would be provided in due course with the proposed fixing details.
5.00	DDR confirmed that it was a religious requirement of the Eruv that it be inspected regularly, probably every Friday and for immediate repairs to take place whenever necessary. Maintenance, or relocation of any installations owing to local Authority requirements, would therefore be able to be immediately effected.

Subject to the above conditions, and a formal Licence agreement, there appeared to be no technical or other problems with regard to the proposals and it was agreed to proceed as follows:-

cont/...2

- (i) DDR would arrange a meeting with the representative of the Department of Transport in order to obtain informal observations and consent to the proposed installation over DoT roads where applicable;
- (ii) Subject to (i) above, details of the proposed installation including a location plan of all the posts and lines would be prepared and a formal submission made to the local Authority for the requisite Highways Act, Town + Country Planning Act and other consents required.

Distribution: Committee (3 -- AK, DS and JS)
John Bragg Esq -- LBB
Alan Shields Esq -- LBB
file